



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/AJEA/2206
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' agronomic and social evaluation of the productivity, yield and cooking quality of four cassava varieties

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Line 113 should read "... were harvested on the same..." Lines 197-203. I appreciate that authors have provided a reference supporting the idea that leaving the stems in the field will return N to the soil. The authors state that Bensre has a high biomass, however that information is not provided. I have made the calculations and indeed, Bensre has a high above-ground biomass, of which most is the weight of the stems. So, this statement is true. However, even though it is not necessary, perhaps the authors could help the readers of the manuscript by providing the values of above ground biomass data in Table 2. This is NOT necessary, but I think would improve the clarity of the paper and make this statement more convincing.	I agree with the evaluator and therefore the above ground biomass has been included in Table 2 Changes effected in page 5 line 177-180 Table 2.